

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

(MBHB Case No. 02-793-C)

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 28 2006

In the Application of:

John, et al.

Serial No. 10/658,959

Filed: September 10, 2003

For: Acetyl 2-hydroxy-1,3-diaminoalkanes

Before the Examiner:

Zinna Northington Davis

Art Unit: 1625

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL LETTERCommissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Fax (571) 273-8300

No. of Pages: 24Including this Transmittal Letter

Sir:

In regard to the above identified application:


1. We are transmitting herewith the attached:

a. Supplemental Response

2. No fees are due at the present time.

3. Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-2490.

4. CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR § 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the paper, as described in paragraph 1 hereinabove, are being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (571) 273-8300) on this 28th day of February, 2006.

  
Bradley W. Crawford  
Reg. No. 50,494McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP  
300 South Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
Telephone: 312-913-0001  
Facsimile: 312 913 0002

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

Feb 28 2006

**PATENT****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**  
(Case No. 02-793-C)

In re Application of:

John, et al.

Serial No.: 10/658,959

Filed: September 10, 2003

For: Acetyl 2-Hydroxy-1,3-Diaminoalkanes

Before the Examiner:  
Zinna Northington Davis

Art Unit: 1625

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE**Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Arlington, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Responsive to a phone call made by Examiner Davis on February 28, 2006, in which the Examiner informed the Applicants that an unsigned, partial claim set was received by the Patent Office on November 29, 2005, Applicants submit herewith the claims that were filed on May 24, 2005, as part of the response to the Office Action mailed February 24, 2005; the claims include all amendments that were made at that time.

Applicants do not know the source of the unsigned, partial claim set. Applicants note that the unsigned, partial claim set appears to be from the claims that were submitted to the Patent Office on May 24, 2005, as part of the response to the Office Action mailed February 24, 2005. Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider the above-identified patent application in view of the following Amendments and Remarks.

The Listing of Claims begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 23 of this paper.